

MANIBEN NANAVATI WOMEN'S COLLEGE

Conducted By

Shree Chandulal Nanavati Women's Institute & Girls' High School Vallabhbhai Road, Vile-Parle (West), Bombay 400 056.



Performance Appraisal of Teachers

Name Ms. Shama Agay Chavan

Name						
Post o peri Depai	d of Report:or Posts held during the od of report:					
	C.L./Other Leave:					
	Perfori	mance Ass	sessment			
Sr. No.	Item	V. Good 5	Good 4	Fair 3	Average 2	Below Average 1
I.	Technical Adequacy-					
	1. Industry					
	2. Application					
	3. Initiative					
	4. Neatness					
	5. Accuracy					
	6. Punctuality in work					
	7. Methodical and systematic working.					
	8. Promptness in disposal					
	9. Regularity in attendance					
	10. Relations with Superiors					
	11. Relations with Colleagues					
	12. Relations with members of public.					
	13. Dependability					
	14. Capacity to get work done					
II.	General Impression-					
	1. General impression and grasp					

2. Leadership qualities3. Level of knowledge(related to the Section/

Department)
4. Tech. ability (wherever

5. Spl. Complementary aptitute qualities etc. other

than job requirements.

relevant).

Sr. No.	Item	V. Good 5	Good 4	Fair 3	Average 2	Below Average 1
III.	Recommendation-					
	(a) Administrative ability including judgement, initiative, promptness and drive.					
	(b) Fitness to continue in the present post.					
	(c) Fitness for promotion					
	(d) Any other item not covered but which you would like to record. Please specify the aspect.					
	(e) Recommendation - observation of the Reporting Officer.					

Date:	(Signature)

Place:

Note. - Items covered by I, II and III may not be applicable to all categories of employees and in all cases. Where assessment in respect of particular item isnot necessary, the Reporting Officer should stat in the column as NA (not applicable). Assessment has to be done in five points scale i.e. Very Good, Good, Fair, Average and Below Average.

Please mark ' \(\forall \) in appropriate columns to arrive at final assessment.

Observation of the Reporting Officer

1. State whether the facts stated above are correct. If not, state the correct facts. 2. Do you agree that the self-assessment is honest and based on rational & impartial self-observation. If not, give reasons why you do not agree. 3. State whether the performance of Officer during the period of self-assessment can be rated as: (1) Very Good, (2) Good, (3) Fair, (4) Average, (5) Below Average. 4. Whether in your opinion the officer has -(1) Potentiality to develop (2) desire to develop (3) Capability of making adequate efforts to develop. 5. Any other remarks Date: Place: Signature Name and Designation of the Reporting Officer. **Observation of Reviewing Office on the Report** (To be filled in by the Reviewing Officer) 1. Length of service under Reviewing Officer during the period under report. 2. Do you agree with the Reporting Officer or do you wish to modify or add to his assessment? 3. Observation of remarks to the employees and clarification from the reporting Officer sought, if any. 4. Communication of remarks to the employees and clarification from the reporting Officer sought, if any. Date: (Signature)

Place:

Name and Designation of the Reviewing Officer

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Anuja Deshponde Department: Psychology
SEMESTER: W CLASS: SYBA SUBJECT: Psychological Testing &
Assessment

Name o

SEME

TEACHING PLAN 2020- 2021

	TEACT	
MONTH	TOPICS TO BE COVERED	CONTENT ANALYSIS
April	Introduction to Psychological Assessment	Introduction, History, Types Characteristics Scope, Ethics
May	Principles of Psychological Testing	Validity,
June	Measurement of Intelligence Aptitude, Personality	Stanford, DAT. CATB, SVII, 16 PF

Signature of HOD with Date

Signature of Principal with Date